

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,505	12/20/2001	Percy Carter	PH-7268	2093	
23914 7.	590 04/23/2003				
STEPHEN B. DAVIS			EXAMINER		
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT P O BOX 4000 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			RAO, DEEPAK R		
			( <del></del>		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	7	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/027,505 Applicant(s)

Carter et al.

Examiner

Deepak Rao

Art Unit 1624



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 20, 2</u>	001				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>			Ø/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗀	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-28</u>					
Applica	tion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: :	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office acti	on.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) .						
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTC	9-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/027,505

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-28 are pending in this application.

## Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: various species disclosed in the Examples.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a **single disclosed species** for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-28 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 10/027,505

Art Unit: 1624

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mary K. VanAtten on April 17, 2003 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR)

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (703) 305-1879. The examiner

can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Mukund Shah, can be reached on (703) 308-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Deepak Rao

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

April 21, 2003